UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/583,365	06/19/2006	Shunpei Yamazaki	0756-7752	6856	
31780 ERIC ROBINS	7590 02/05/200 ON	EXAMINER			
PMB 955	DANIZ CT	BELOUSOV, ALEXANDER			
21010 SOUTH POTOMAC FA	LLS, VA 20165	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2894		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/05/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		App	lication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
			583,365	YAMAZAKI ET A	YAMAZAKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Exa	miner	Art Unit				
		ALE	XANDER BELOUSO	V 2894				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears	on the cover sheet (	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum structure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are ded patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE ( of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I nunication. atutory period will appl will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUN n no event, however, may a y and will expire SIX (6) MO the application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 03 Novem	her 2008					
		2b)⊠ This actic						
3)		/ <del></del>		itters, prosecution as to th	e merits is			
<u>ا</u> رو	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dienosit	ion of Claims		,,	,				
		!:4:						
-	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-7</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
, —	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 8-14</u> is/are rejected	a.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or elec	tion requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) <mark> </mark>	or b)  objected to	b by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawi	ng(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is	required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examin	er. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2)  Notic 3) Infor	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date i Informal Patent Application 				

Application/Control Number: 10/583,365 Page 2

Art Unit: 2894

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed on 11/03/2008. Currently, claims 1-4 & 8-14 have been examined. The Applicant's arguments with regards to soft *magnetic* particles were found to be persuasive and a new rejection is sent.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim(s) 1-4 & 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over (US-6509217) by Reddy in view of (US-2001/0038127) by Yamazaki et al ("Yamazaki") and further in view of (US-2005/0140539) by Fujieda et al ("Fujieda").

**Regarding claim 1**, Reddy discloses in FIG. 3 and related text, **e.g.**, a semiconductor device comprising:

a substrate (10),

an integrated circuit including a thin film transistor (column 10, lines 8-10),

an antenna having a conducting wire (88, 96 & 92), and wherein the integrated circuit and the antenna are formed over the substrate to be electrically connected to each other (they are connected to each other).

Reddy does not disclose an insulating film over the conducting wire, and fine particles of a soft magnetic material are included in the insulating film.

Art Unit: 2894

Yamazaki discloses in FIG. 4A and related text, **e.g.**, an insulating film (215) and fine particles of a soft material (214; gold) are included in the insulating film.

Page 3

Fujieda discloses in FIG. 5 and related text, **e.g.**, soft magnetic particles (paragraph 105, "Fe").

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of Reddy with via 108/110 made of an insulating film and fine particles of a soft material are included in the insulating film, wherein the material is iron, in order to simplify the processing steps of making the device (the vias 108/110 of Reddy are made by filling metal into via holes; replacing the metal with conductive resin of Yamazaki would simplify the processing steps involved, since curing conductive resin is much simpler than depositing metal), and in order to absorb electromagnetic waves (see Fujieda, Title) and to reduce the cost of materials (replacing gold conductive particles with iron conductive particles would obviously result in large reduction in cost), respectively.

When the device of Reddy is modified with "via 108/110 made of an insulating film and fine particles of a soft material are included in the insulating film, wherein the material is iron", it will result in "an insulating film (108 would be that film) over the conducting wire, and fine particles of a soft **magnetic** material (iron) are included in the insulating film".

**Regarding claim 2**, Reddy discloses in FIG. 3 and related text, **e.g.**, a semiconductor device comprising:

a substrate (10),

an integrated circuit including a thin film transistor (column 10, lines 8-10),

an antenna having a conducting wire (88, 96 & 92), and wherein the integrated circuit and the antenna are formed over the substrate to be electrically connected to each other (they are connected to each other).

Reddy does not disclose a resin film over the conducting wire, and fine particles of a soft magnetic material are included in the resin film.

Yamazaki discloses in FIG. 4A and related text, **e.g.**, a resin film (215) and fine particles of a soft material (214; gold) are included in the resin film.

Fujieda discloses in FIG. 5 and related text, **e.g.**, soft magnetic particles (paragraph 105, "Fe").

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of Reddy with via 108/110 made of a resin film and fine particles of a soft material are included in the resin film, wherein the material is iron, in order to simplify the processing steps of making the device (the vias 108/110 of Reddy are made by filling metal into via holes; replacing the metal with conductive resin of Yamazaki would simplify the processing steps involved, since curing resin is much simpler than depositing metal), and in order to absorb electromagnetic waves (see Fujieda, Title) and to reduce the cost of materials (replacing gold conductive particles with iron conductive particles would obviously result in large reduction in cost), respectively.

When the device of Reddy is modified with "via 108/110 made of a resin film and fine particles of a soft material are included in the resin film, wherein the material is iron", it will result in "a resin film (108 would be that film) over the conducting wire (88, 96 & 92), and fine particles of a soft **magnetic** material (iron) are included in the resin film".

Art Unit: 2894

**Regarding claim 3**, Reddy discloses in FIG. 3 and related text, **e.g.**, a semiconductor device comprising:

a substrate (10),

an integrated circuit including a thin film transistor (column 10, lines 8-10), an antenna having a conducting wire (88, 96 & 92),

a first insulating film (104) covering the conducting wire and the thin film transistor, and wherein the integrated circuit and the antenna are formed over the substrate to be electrically connected to each other (they are connected to each other).

Reddy does not disclose a second insulating film over the first insulating film covering the conducting wire, and fine particles of a soft magnetic material are included in the second insulating film.

Yamazaki discloses in FIG. 4A and related text, **e.g.**, a second insulating film (215) and fine particles of a soft material (214; gold) are included in the second insulating film.

Fujieda discloses in FIG. 5 and related text, **e.g.**, soft magnetic particles (paragraph 105, "Fe").

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of Reddy with via 108/110 made of a second insulating film and fine particles of a soft material are included in the second insulating film, wherein the material is iron, in order to simplify the processing steps of making the device (the vias 108/110 of Reddy are made by filling metal into via holes; replacing the metal with conductive resin of Yamazaki would simplify the processing steps involved, since curing conductive resin is much simpler than depositing metal), and in order to absorb electromagnetic waves (see Fujieda, Title) and to

Art Unit: 2894

reduce the cost of materials (replacing gold conductive particles with iron conductive particles would obviously result in large reduction in cost), respectively.

When the device of Reddy is modified with "via 108/110 made of a second insulating film and fine particles of a soft material are included in the second insulating film, wherein the material is iron", it will result in "a second insulating film (108 would be that film) over the first insulating film (104; 108 is in direct contact with it; hence, "over") covering the conducting wire, and fine particles of a soft **magnetic** material (iron) are included in the second insulating film".

**Regarding claim 4**, Reddy discloses in FIG. 3 and related text, **e.g.**, a semiconductor device comprising:

a substrate (10),

an integrated circuit including a thin film transistor (column 10, lines 8-10), an antenna having a conducting wire (88, 96 & 92),

an insulating film (104) covering the conducting wire and the thin film transistor (it is in direct contact with both), and wherein the integrated circuit and the antenna are formed over the substrate to be electrically connected to each other (they are connected to each other).

Reddy does not disclose a resin film over the insulating film covering the conducting wire, and fine particles of a soft magnetic material are included in the resin film.

Yamazaki discloses in FIG. 4A and related text, **e.g.**, a resin film (215) and fine particles of a soft material (214; gold) are included in the resin film.

Fujieda discloses in FIG. 5 and related text, **e.g.**, soft magnetic particles (paragraph 105, "Fe").

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of Reddy with via 108/110 made of a resin film and fine particles of a soft material are included in the resin film, wherein the material is iron, in order to simplify the processing steps of making the device (the vias 108/110 of Reddy are made by filling metal into via holes; replacing the metal with conductive resin of Yamazaki would simplify the processing steps involved, since curing resin is much simpler than depositing metal), and in order to absorb electromagnetic waves (see Fujieda, Title) and to reduce the cost of materials (replacing gold conductive particles with iron conductive particles would obviously result in large reduction in cost), respectively.

When the device of Reddy is modified with "via 108/110 made of a resin film and fine particles of a soft material are included in the resin film, wherein the material is iron", it will result in "a resin film (108 would be that film) covering the conducting wire (88, 96 & 92), and fine particles of a soft **magnetic** material (iron) are included in the resin film".

**Regarding claim 8**, Reddy discloses in FIG. 3 and related text, **e.g.**, a semiconductor device comprising:

a substrate (10),

an integrated circuit including a thin film transistor (column 10, lines 8-10),

an antenna having a conducting wire (88, 96 & 92),

a first insulating film (104) covering the conducting wire and the thin film transistor (it is in direct contact with both), and a second insulating film at least adjacent to a side of the conducting wire by interposing the first insulating film therebetween,

wherein the integrated circuit and the antenna are formed over the substrate to be electrically connected to each other (they are connected to each other).

Reddy does not disclose a second insulating film at least adjacent to a side of the conducting wire by interposing the first insulating film therebetween, and fine particles of a soft magnetic material are included in the second insulating film.

Yamazaki discloses in FIG. 4A and related text, **e.g.**, a second insulating film (215) and fine particles of a soft material (214; gold) are included in the second insulating film.

Fujieda discloses in FIG. 5 and related text, **e.g.**, soft magnetic particles (paragraph 105, "Fe").

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of Reddy with via 108/110 made of a second insulating film and fine particles of a soft material are included in the second insulating film, wherein the material is iron, in order to simplify the processing steps of making the device (the vias 108/110 of Reddy are made by filling metal into via holes; replacing the metal with conductive resin of Yamazaki would simplify the processing steps involved, since curing conductive resin is much simpler than depositing metal), and in order to absorb electromagnetic waves (see Fujieda, Title) and to reduce the cost of materials (replacing gold conductive particles with iron conductive particles would obviously result in large reduction in cost), respectively.

When the device of Reddy is modified with "via 108/110 made of a second insulating film and fine particles of a soft material are included in the second insulating film, wherein the material is iron", it will result in "a second insulating film (108 would be that film) at least adjacent to a side of the conducting wire (88, 96 & 92) by interposing the first insulating film

Art Unit: 2894

(104) therebetween, and fine particles of a soft **magnetic** material (iron) are included in the second insulating film".

**Regarding claim 9**, Reddy discloses in FIG. 3 and related text, **e.g.**, a semiconductor device comprising:

a substrate (10),

an integrated circuit including a thin film transistor (column 10, lines 8-10),

an antenna having a conducting wire (88, 96 & 92),

an insulating film (104) covering the conducting wire and the thin film transistor (it is in direct contact with both),

wherein the integrated circuit and the antenna are formed over the substrate to be electrically connected to each other (they are connected to each other).

Reddy does not disclose a resin film at least adjacent to a side of the conducting wire by interposing the insulating film therebetween, and fine particles of a soft magnetic material are included in the resin film.

Yamazaki discloses in FIG. 4A and related text, **e.g.**, a resin film (215) and fine particles of a soft material (214; gold) are included in the resin film.

Fujieda discloses in FIG. 5 and related text, **e.g.**, soft magnetic particles (paragraph 105, "Fe").

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of Reddy with via 108/110 made of a resin film and fine particles of a soft material are included in the resin film, wherein the material is iron, in order to simplify the processing steps of making the device (the vias 108/110 of Reddy are made by filling metal into

via holes; replacing the metal with conductive resin of Yamazaki would simplify the processing steps involved, since curing resin is much simpler than depositing metal), and in order to absorb electromagnetic waves (see Fujieda, Title) and to reduce the cost of materials (replacing gold conductive particles with iron conductive particles would obviously result in large reduction in cost), respectively.

When the device of Reddy is modified with "via 108/110 made of a resin film and fine particles of a soft material are included in the resin film, wherein the material is iron", it will result in "a resin film (108 would be that film) at least adjacent to a side of the conducting wire (88, 96 & 92) by interposing the insulating film (104) therebetween, and fine particles of a soft magnetic material (iron) are included in the resin film".

Regarding claim 10, Reddy discloses in FIG. 3 and related text, e.g., the integrated circuit and the antenna are formed over a flexible substrate (column 17, lines 4 & 5).

Regarding claim 11, Reddy discloses in FIG. 3 and related text, e.g., the conducting wire (88, 92 & 96).

Regarding the process limitations recited in claim 11 ("formed by an electroplating method, an electroless plating method, a printing method, or a droplet discharging method"), these would not carry patentable weight in this claim drawn to a structure, because distinct structure is not necessarily produced.

Note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPO 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also In re Brown, 173 USPO 685; In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324; In re Avery, 186 USPQ 161; In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); and In re Marosi et

al., 218 USPQ 289, all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that the applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above case law makes clear.

**Regarding claim 12**, Reddy discloses in FIG. 3 and related text, **e.g.**, the conducting wire (88, 92 & 96) includes a first conductor (92) and a second conductor (96) covering the first conductor.

**Regarding claim 13**, Reddy discloses in FIG. 3 and related text, **e.g.**, the second conductor (96).

Regarding the process limitations recited in claim 13 ("formed by an electroplating method, an electroless plating method, or a droplet discharging method"), these would not carry patentable weight in this claim drawn to a structure, because distinct structure is not necessarily produced.

Note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324; In re Avery, 186 USPQ 161; In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); and In re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289, all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a

product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that the applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above case law makes clear.

**Regarding claim 14**, the combination of Reddy, Yamazaki and Fujieda discloses the soft magnetic material is Fe; Co; Ni; an alloy including at least one of Fe, Co, and Ni; 3Y2O3.5Fe2O3 (YIG); Fe2O3; Fe-Si-AI alloy; Fe-Cr alloy; FeP alloy; a permalloy in which Ni or Ni-Fe alloy is added with at least one of Mo, Cu, Cr, and Nb; or a soft ferrite (see rejection of claims 1-4, 8 & 9).

## Conclusion

1. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Belousov whose telephone number is 571-270-3209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-2402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 13

Art Unit: 2894

/Alexander Belousov/ Examiner, Art Unit 2894 02/02/2009

/Bradley K Smith/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2894